



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,676	01/23/2001	Volkmar Heuer	902.739-1	3388	
4955	7590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			HOM, SI	HOM, SHICK C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TREET, P O BOX 224	2666			
MONROE,	CT 06468		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/887,676	HEUER, VOLKMA	R	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Shick C. Hom	2666		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS is so of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after that there may be adopted the manufactor of the control of the contro	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi II, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal ma	,	merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the I The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abey ne correction is required if the drawing	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` ,	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	` '				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date)-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/887,676 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 6 recite a method for transmitting data packets in the preamble; however no active verb has been used to describe the step(s) of the transmitting data packets; therefore it is not clearly understood what step(s) of transmitting data packets are being claimed. Claims 2-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they depend from rejected claims 1 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/887,676

Art Unit: 2666

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katsube et al. (5,930,259) in view of Noven (5,884,297).

Regarding claims 1-5 and 13-14:

Katsube et al. disclose a network element (NE) comprising an interface (IN) by way of which the network element receives data packets with a target address, a memory (MEM) in which an address table (TAB) is stored, which table has entries regarding logical virtual connections between network elements of the synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH), means (IPADR) for evaluating the target address of data packets, and means (SEL) for making a decision on the basis of the target address and the address table as to which one of the virtual connections is used to transmit a data packet (see col. 3 lines 16-25 which recite the packet transmission node device, the routing table for storing virtual connection identifiers, and means for transferring the packet to output virtual connections determined by referring to the routing table according to the

Art Unit: 2666

destination address of the packet; whereby the virtual connection is selected based on the qualities of service corresponding to the packet clearly anticipate the means for evaluating the target address of data packets, and means for making a decision on the basis of the target address and the address table as to which one of the virtual connections is used to transmit the data packet as in claims 1 and 13).

Regarding claims 2-3:

Katsube et al. disclose the data packets come from a local area network LAN; in which the data packets are structured in accordance with the Internet Protocol (see col. 6 lines 51-56 which recite the local area network LAN connected to the packet reception unit and the packet being IP packet as in claims 2 and 3, respectively).

Regarding claims 4-5:

Katsube et al. disclose the target address being comprised of a network address and a host address and only the network address is evaluated in the intermediate network elements (see col. 1 lines 10-15 and lines 58-67 which recite transmitting and receiving packets by node device connected to a virtual connection oriented network and the abstract which recite packet destination addresses being stored in the routing table clearly reads on network address being evaluated in the network elements

Application/Control Number: 09/887,676

Art Unit: 2666

as in claim 4); and in which an a respective address table is stored in each network element and is prepared by a central network management system (see col. 12 lines 55-57 which recite the virtual connection being realized by the management procedure as in claim 5).

For claims 1-5, and 13-14, Katsube et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of a synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH) as defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) as in claims 1, 13 and wherein the network element is a multiplexer or concentrator as in claim 14.

Noven from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide a synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH) as defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) (see col. 9 lines 24-45 which recite the synchronous digital hierarchy SDH network defined by the International Telecommunications Union ITU as in claims 1, 13) and wherein the network element is a multiplexer or concentrator (see col. 11 lines 14-43 which recite the use tables in the multiplexers along the virtual paths as in claim 14). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH) as

Application/Control Number: 09/887,676

Page 6

Art Unit: 2666

defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) and whereby the network element is a multiplexer or concentrator as taught by Noven in the method and network element for transmitting data packets of Katsube et al. The synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH) as defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) whereby the network element is a multiplexer or concentrator can be implemented by providing the SDH network as defined by ITU and providing the multiplexer in the node device of Katsube et al. The motivation for using a synchronous digital data transmission network (SDH) as defined by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) being in order to provide a network for the method and device for transmitting data packets to function as designed and motivation for using the multiplexer in the node device being that it provides more efficiency for the method and device for transmitting data packets since the system can share bandwidth via multiplexing data at the transmitting end.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2666

6. Claims 9-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 Bhaskar et al. disclose a system and method for transmitting a user's data packets concurrently over different telephone lines between two computer networks.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,676 Page 8

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

DANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mond and